

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,119	03/25/2004	Ronald P. Snyder	29020/408A	7736
4743	7590 05/12/2006		EXAMINER	
	LL, GERSTEIN & BOR	JOHNSON, BLAIR M		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606	3634		
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/809,119	SNYDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blair M. Johnson	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5)  Claim(s) 23 and 26 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-6,12-17,24 and 25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 7-11 and 18-22 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the objection to the objection may not request that any objection to the objection is objection in the objection is objection to the objection is objection is objection to the objection is objection in the objection in the objection is objection in the objection in the objection in the objection is objection in the objection is objection in the objection in the objection is objection in the objection in	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the B					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,5,6,15-17,24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Traut.

See first support members 1,3,6, take-up member (roller inside 4), second support member 2, retractable panel (unnumbered), stop member 14, and catch member 12. See the slot defined by channel 6 (part of the first support member) whose surrounding structure is the catch 12.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Traut.

The use of different colors for a variety of reasons, such as aesthetics and company logos, etc., are well known for window coverings and screens. The use of such colors for "warning" purposes is clearly a subjective recitation, i.e. certain colors

Application/Control Number: 10/809,119

Art Unit: 3634

may "warn" certain people. The use of warning labels on window coverings is well known and it would have been obvious to provide such for the covering of Traut.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Traut in view of Hopkins.

Traut does not discuss his roll-up mechanism. However, spring rollers are well known as illustrated by Hopkins. It would have been obvious to provide Traut with such a spring motor so as to retract his screen.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Traut in view of Malamed.

The use of flexible strap handles to pull down a roller shade is well known as illustrated by Malamed at 100 and providing Traut with such would have been obvious so as to render pulling down of the shade/screen easier.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-11 and 18-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23 and 26 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 5/2/06